# Alarm Management QA Project

Andrew Weirauch, BSRT, RRT-ACCS, Clinical Specialist Christopher Culter, BS, RRT-ACCS, Clinical **Specialist** Carl F Haas, MLS, RRT-ACCS FAARC, Educational/Research Director Paul Loik, RRT, Clinical Specialist **Adult Respiratory Care** University of Michigan Hospitals & Health

## Introduction

- Alarm fatigue is a growing concern in the health care arena
  - 80 99% of alarms are considered nonactionable<sup>1</sup>
  - Desensitization decreases response rates
  - FDA reported 500 alarm-related deaths in 5 years
  - Alarms add to the noise pollution of the ICU
- Joint Commission published the need for alarm management as a Hospital National Patient Safety Goal<sup>2</sup>

- Ruskin, K. Alarm Fatigue: Impacts on Patient Safety. Current Opinion. 2015

# Background: Project One

- Desire to better understand how our department is setting alarm parameters
- Policy provides guidelines for setting alarms
  - Focused on lung protection
  - Pip 10-15 cm H<sub>2</sub>O above actual
  - VT high per clinical judgment, suggested < 12 mL/kg PBW
- Prior to this QA, we have not assessed alarm settings at the departmental level for sometime

How are alarms set, related to actual measured value?

# Methods

- Data gathered manually from documentation (MiChart-Epic)
- Demographics: ICU, shift, Ventilator brand, and mode
- Actual values compared to set high or low:
  - RR
  - VT
  - VE
  - Ppeak
  - Apnea
  - iNo

## Results

Data from 45 patients was obtained,134 samples total

#### High Ppeak Limit:

- 75% of Ppeak limits were set >40 cm H2O; 55% were set >50 cm H2O
- 90% of Ppeak limits were set >15 cm H2O above the actual Ppeak, 40% were set >25 cm H2O above, regardless of mode of ventilation
- 60% of CPAP/PS events were set >25 cm H2O above actual Ppeak



Percent of charting episodes (n=125) with high pressure alarm at a given setting (in cm H2O)



Percent of chartings with difference between set high pressure limit and actual peak pressure in various ranges (ideal generally <15), stratified by pressure and volume modes of ventilation

## Results cont.

#### High VT Limit:

- ~73% of limits (81/111) were set to 1000 mL; 35% of these result in >15 mL/kg
- When set >1000 mL, 100% of time this is >15 mL/kg; when set to <1000 mL, 100% of time this results in <15 mL/kg</li>
- >95% of limits are set >12 mL/kg; 42% are set >15 mL/kg
- Because VT can vary with pressure ventilation, it is important to protect against excessive ventilation, yet ~50% are set to >15 mL/kg



Percent of charting with high VT limit expressed as a function of mL/kg of predicted body weight, stratified by alarm (limit) setting (eg, when limit is set to 800 mL, 32% of times that reflected a limit of <12 mL/kg or when set to 1200 mL or greater, it represented >15 mL/kg)



Percent of chartings with high VT limit expressed as a function of ml/kg of predicted body weight, stratified by pressure and volume modes of ventilation

# Background: Project Two

- Desire to better understand what alarms are occurring and their frequency in our ICUs
- Prior to this QA, we have not assessed alarm settings at the departmental level for sometime

Which vent alarms are triggered most often, are they adjustable vs not, and what level of priority are they?

## Methods

- All ICUs were ask to participate
- The 7-day trend, alarm and log data was downloaded from 41 Draeger V-500 ventilators
- Data was collected and summarized in excel
- Data was processed using SPSS

### Results

41 different alarms were identified; 8 of the alarms are user adjustable, 33 are non adjustable.

- For all patients combined, an average of 76 alarms were logged per day (3.1/h); 38 (1.6/h) high priority alarms, 12 (0.5/h) medium and 26 (1.1/h) low priority.
- 20% of the alarms are user adjustable; 80% are not adjustable, although possibly influenced by management strategies
- Almost 60% of the alarms were triggered by 5 alarms:
  - Airway pressure high (1193, 16%); *adjustable*
  - Pressure limited, VT not reached (1193, 16%)
  - High PEEP (844, 11.3%)
  - Leakage (662, 8.9%)
  - VT high (508, 6.8%) adjustable



# Hour

(Note: value represents mean number of times the alarm sounded per hour, of those with a given alarm, ie, 0.5 = 1 alarm every 2 hours, 0.2 = 1 alarm every 5 hours)

		Dept		Apnea Ventilation	0.07
		(41)		Battery activated	0.14
	Airway obstructed?	0.15	Medium Priority	Check CO <sub>2</sub> cuvette	0.87
[	* Airway pressure high	0.95		Flow measurement inaccurate	0.05
[	* Airway pressure low	0.08		Nebulizer uses Air only	0.01
	Airway pressure negative	0.04		PEEP high (Med P)	0.45
	Apnea	0.02		Perform device & circuit check	0.02
	Battery discharged	0.01		Pressure measurement inaccurate	0.04
	Battery low	0.02		Rotary knob stuck or pressed too long	0.01
	CO <sub>2</sub> measurement failed	0.71		* VT high	0.19
Ę.	CO <sub>2</sub> sensor	0.16		VT high (minimum pressure)	0.42
i:	CO <sub>2</sub> zero calibration?	0.02		* VT low	0.03
۲ ۲	Disconnection?	0.19	ority	Air supply low, GS500 active	0.08
Hi	* CO₂ high	0.32		Continuous nebulization activated	0.06
	* etCO <sub>2</sub> low	0.30		Leakage	0.20
	Flow sensor? Ventilation impaired	0.04		MEDIBUS communication failed	0.05
	* MV high	0.06	Pri	Nebulization finished	0.05
	* MV low	0.10	NO.	Pressure limited	4.03
	No O2 supply	0.12	-	Pressure limited! VT not reached	1.04
	PEEP low	0.04		Suction maneuver overused?	0.07
	Respiratory rate high	0.19		VT not reached	0.15
[	Standby mode activated	0.08		* User adjustable alarm	

# Limitations

- Small sample size
- Limited to the V500 for downloads
- Limited to 7 days of data

# Conclusion

- The Ppeak high and VT high limits should be adjusted downward when indicated to meet patient safety standards
- Need to find a balance of safe settings and nonactionable alarms
- Educate staff on the importance of safe alarm settings
- A drill down into specific cases is necessary to identify management practices that might reduce alarms
- On the V-500 a majority of alarms are not adjustable
- Conoral alarm sottings may not be ideal for all